

United States Patent and Trademark Office

MM

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	Lama	
10/007 226		THE THANKED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,226	03/01/2002	Thomas J. Anastasio	1201.66170	6934
24978 7590	10/28/2003		1 EVAN	INED
GREER, BURN	IS & CRAIN	•	EXAMINER	
300 S WACKER DR			TAYLOR, VICTOR J	
25TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60606	•	2863	
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	
. Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/087,226	ANASTASIO ET AL.
ì.	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAIL INC DATE of the	Victor J. Taylor	2863
reliou iu	• •		
I HL II - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replete period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	days will be considered timely.
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	July 2002 .	
2a)□		is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows		proceeding on to the made in
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,13-19 and 25-50</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.	
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,9-12 and 20-24</u> is/a	are withdrawn from consideration	on.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·	
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,13-19 and 25-50</u> is/are rejecte	ed.	
7)🖾	Claim(s) 41 and 42 is/are objected to.		
8) 🔲 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
	on Papers	·	
9)∐ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2002</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 🛚 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[_] All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1	. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2	P. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applica	tion No
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur se the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ty documents have been receiveau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ved in this National Stage
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a) (The translation of the foreign language proving the foreign la	visional application has been re	ceived.
ttachment(s		,,	v and/or tall.
r)	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>8</u> .	4) Interview Summar 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: Office Action	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) on .
Patent and Trad OL-326 (Rev	5 (54)	ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 8

^{*} Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on August 1, 2002. These drawings are approved.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The use of where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning in the written description must clearly be redefined. The claim term of "posterior", and the term of "sigmoid", and the term of "spatial", and the term of "probability" in the claim language is indefinite and unclear as to what processing steps are taken.

Merriam and Webster collegiate dictionary in the tenth edition defines these terms as,

- I. The term "posterior" is used to define the adjective of located behind a part or toward the rear of a structure or relating to the caudal end of the body in quadrupeds or the dorsal side in human beings and other primates. And further defines,
- II. The term "sigmoid" to define the adjective of having the shape of the letter S or of relating to the sigmoid flexure of the colon. And further defines,
- III. The term "spatial" to define the adjective of relating to or involving or having the nature of space. And further defines,
- IV. The term "probability" to define the noun of having the quality or condition of being probable or the likelihood or having a probable situation or condition or event.

Art Unit: 2863

Therefore, the term found in the claims for "posterior probability" as defined is considered to mean the <u>backward probability</u> achieved by the back propagation as defined in the specification and for purpose of examination is considered the <u>backward probability</u> as best understood by the examiner. Appropriate correction is required

Furthermore, the term found in the claims for "sigmoid curve" is interpretation by the examiner to mean an S shaped curve as commonly found in the curve plotting of probability densities and in the analog sine wave curve found in AC signals is considered to mean the S shaped curve for purpose of examination as best understood by the examiner. Appropriate correction is required

And furthermore, the examiner interprets the term found in the claims for "<u>spatial</u> target probability" to mean the <u>space target probability</u> as defined above for purpose of examination. Appropriate correction is required

And additionally, the term for "approximating a posterior probability" is interpretation by the examiner to define a back or behind probability as defined as "back-propagation" for the purpose of examination as best understood by the examiner in order to expedite the examination of the instant application. Appropriate clarification and correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 41 and 42 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 41 is

Application/Control Number: 10/087,226 Page 4

Art Unit: 2863

dependent to apparatus claim 15 with processing method steps drawn to the supervised learning algorithm and claim 42 which is dependent on method steps in claim 41 is drawn to improper method steps in the apparatus base claim. Corrections are required.

Prior Art

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant;
- I. Smyth, US 5,687,291 in class 395/010 is cited for the neural network for estimating a cognitive decision made in response to a stimulus 3 in figure 2.
- II. Shenoy et al., US 6,609,017 in class 600/372 is cited for the processed neural network for estimating a cognitive decision with visual and motor functions in figure 1 with computed eye centered coordinates in line 10 of column 15.
- III. Smyth, US 6,092,058 in class 706/010 is cited for the automatic aiding of human cognitive functions with computerized displays see abstract lines 1-14 and all elements figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 13-19, 25-29-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2863

The term found in the claims for "posterior probability" is indefinite as to just what comprises the caudal end (buttocks) of the probability or as to just what caudal probability is indicated. In addition, the term found in the claims for the "sigmoid curve" is indefinite as to just what colon shaped biological curve is indicated.

Furthermore, the term found in the claims for "spatial target probability" is indefinite as well the term for "approximating a posterior probability". This term is interpretation by the examiner to define a back or behind probability as defined above as the "back-propagation". While the term posterior finds meaning in the caudal buttocks of a mammal using processing steps of the well known Kohonen mapping with SOM or SON mapping using the neural networks to define the targeted caudal target probability.

And in addition, the apparatus for tracking a target in a "desired environment" is indefinite as to just what comprises the desired environment.

Claims 2-4, 6-8, 14-19, 25-28, and 30-50 are rejected as variously dependent on rejected base claims.

Appropriate clarification and correction is required

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) The invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Art Unit: 2863

8. Claims 1-3, 4-8, 13-19, and 25-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Christopher A Seguin in the Thesis titled Models Of Neurons In The Superior Colliculus and Unsupervised Learning of Parameters From Time Series, published at the University Of Illinois At Urbana Champaign in 1998.

With regard to claims 1, 13, 15 and 29, Sequin discloses the limitations including a method of determining spatial target probability in the model of the superior colliculus on page 93, and discloses the limitations of acquiring inputs, and the limitations of applying the inputs with the limitations of approximating a probability, and finding a model unit, and choosing the location with the highest probability on page iii and in lines 1-8 of the abstract, and discloses the limitation for the model and the learning algorithms on page 1 in combination with all the pages 2-250 of the prior art thesis publication.

As to dependent claims 2-4, 6-8, 14, 16-19, 25—28, and 30-50 Sequin further discloses all of the dependent limitations including the limitations for at least two sensory inputs that are video (light) and audio (sound) inputs in line 17-22 on page 1. Sequin further discloses the limitation with the first target probability, and the probability approximated by the S curve function in page 118, with the limitations for the steps of using a linear function in the unsupervised learning algorithm found in line 2 on page 44, and further discloses the limitations for the bounded linear function with the limitation steps of training the model neural network in the SOM feature map in line 14 on page 44 in combination with the limitation steps of positioning and applying the inputs to obtain the model neural network in the matrix in lines 1-14 on page 80, and further

Art Unit: 2863

discloses the limitations of the steps of organizing the Kohonen map in the neural SOM

on page 44 in combination with the Sigmoid S curve in figure 3.18 on page 118, and in

combination with the complete publication.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Victor J. Taylor whose telephone number is 703-305-

4470. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John E. Barlow can be reached on 703-308-3126. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-

3431.

Examiner

Art Unit 2863

KAMINI SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINED

Page 7